







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,426	07/11/2001	Stephen L. Galbraith	043210-1395-00	8933	
23409	7590 12/30/2002				
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			EXAMINER		
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			BREVARD, MAERENA W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application N	o. Applicant(s)		
		09/903,426	GALBRAITH ET AL.	(
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Maerena W. Bı	revard 3727		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence addres	s	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, ho n. a reply within the statutory n eriod will apply and will expi tatute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be timely filed minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 October 2002			
2a)⊠		This action is non-	-final		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all			orite ie	
, —	closed in accordance with the practice uni ion of Claims			CIIIS IS	
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13 and 15-32</u> is/are pend	ding in the applicati	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from conside	eration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12,13,15,16 and 22-28</u> is/are allow	wed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,17-19,21,29,30 and 32</u> is/are re	jected.			
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 2-10,20 and 31 is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar ion Papers	nd/or election requir	rement.		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a	ccepted or b) obje	cted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection t		eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •	action.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule		je	
14) []	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication	
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•			
Attachmen	_	•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152		

Application/Control Number: 09/903,426

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 32 is indefinite since it claims a method of opening a saddlebag, while depending from a claim that provides a method of making a saddlebag.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams.

In accordance with MPEP 2111, Williams discloses a carrier structurally meeting the limitations and capable of being used as a motorcycle saddlebag comprising:

- A body (10);
- A lid (11);
- A hinge assembly (55) interconnecting the body and lid and completely enclosed within the cavity when the lid is closed (Figures 1 and 2);

Application/Control Number: 09/903,426

Art Unit: 3727

- The hinge has a first mounting member (57) mounted to the inner surface of the body, a second mounting member (56) mounted to the inner surface of the lid, and a coupling assembly (69); and
- The coupling assembly is configured to move the pivot axis with respect to the body as the lid is opened and closed, to the same degree claimed.
- 5. Claims 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niemi et al.

In accordance with MPEP 2111, Niemi discloses a carrier structurally meeting the limitations and capable of being used as a motorcycle saddlebag comprising:

- A body (24);
- A lid (22);
- A hinge assembly (48, 50, 52, 53, 54, 55) coupling the lid to the body;
- A biasing member (66) interconnecting the lid and the body;
- The biasing member biasing the lid toward an open position (Figure 2);
- A latch (36, 38) interconnecting the lid and body;
- The biasing member is a gas spring (Column 3, line 63); and
- A locking assembly (41) including a latch mounted to the lid, a push button slidably mounted to the body, and a hook pivotally mounted to the push button by a pin (Figure 1), wherein depressing the causes the hook to pivot about the pin (Column 3, lines 18-23).

Regarding claim 21, the method for opening the carrier is inherent with its use, to the same degree claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,426 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 12, 13, 15, 16, and 22-28 are allowed.

7. Claims 2-10, 20, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claim 32 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Young, Bergh et al., Shigeru, and McGlauflin are cited for carrier having hinge assemblies.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3727

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9302 for regular communications and 703/872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

Maerena Brevard December 23, 2002

> Stephen K. Cronin Primary Examiner